

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | . FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/435,996                             | 11/08/1999      | MITSUHIRO WATANABE   | 10P319372               | 5995             |
| 30743                                  | 7590 03/08/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. |                 |                      | HUTTON JR, WILLIAM D    |                  |
| SUITE 340                              | ISET HILLS ROAD |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| RESTON, VA 20190                       |                 |                      | 2179                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)        |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/435,996      | WATANABE, MITSUHIRO |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit            |  |  |
| Doug Hutton     | 2179                |  |  |

|   | I .   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Doug Hutton   | 2179  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence add  | ress                                      |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing<br/>must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend<br/>condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe<br/>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> </ol>                                 | a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband<br>Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or reply must be filed within one of the  | donment of this applic<br>which places the appli<br>41.31; or (3) a Reque | ication in st for Continued               |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) Lightharpoonup The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 7<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   |   | 26(a) and the approprie   | to outonoion foo                          |  |  |  |  |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da   | of the fee. The appropri  | ate extension fee<br>ce action: or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe  | eal, but prior to the date of filing an   | appeal brief. The Not   | ice of Appeal                             |  |  |  |  |
| was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS   | 1.37 must be filed within two month<br>CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of   | s of the date of filing t   | the Notice of                             |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered by  | ecalise                                   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   | TE below):  | ecause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | ow);  | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  | tter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  |   | and all the con-  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   | ected claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | maliant Amandment   | (DTOL 224)                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  | llowable if submitted in a separate   | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   | _   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro<br>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | oxtimes will not be entered, or b) $oxtimes$ will vided below or appended.  | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>4-22 and 27-47</u> .   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 23 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | at before or on the date of filing a North date of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons who sufficient reasons who sufficient reasons who sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons who sufficient reasons which is the | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>rit or other evidence is                | t be entered necessary and                |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai   | ls to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | it does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar   | nce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Ш   | land and and and and and and and and and                                  | -   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 21 211  |   |   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
TECHNOLOGY CENTER Paper No. 03042005

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 09/435,996

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment of Claim 23 adds a limitation to the scope of the invention. The scope of Claim 23 does not match the scope of any other claim in the application and will require additional consideration and an additional search.